|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FACILITATOR:**  |  | **CITATION:** |  | **DATE:** |  |
| **EVALUTATION DONE BY (NAME):** |  |
| **1. What was the context?** |  |
| CONSIDER: How closely does it reflect your own? Is it applicable? |
| **2. What was the aim of the study? or What is the intent in the reporting of the case?** |  |
| CONSIDER: What was the problem for which the quality improvement initiative was seen as a solution? |
| **3. What was the mechanism by which the authors hoped to improve quality?** |  |
| CONSIDER: What were the actionable steps or process through which they would see outcome? |
| **4. Was the intended quality improvement initiative evidence based?** |  |
| CONSIDER: Did the authors conduct an environmental scan, literature search etc.? |
| **5. How did the authors measure success, and was this reasonable?** |  |
| CONSIDER: Did the authors define what “success” looked like? Were measureables clearly stated? |
| **6. How much detail was given on the change process and what insights can be gleaned from this?** |  |
| CONSIDER: If the process is not in sufficient detail, is it available online via repository etc.? |
| **7. What were the main findings?**  |  |
| CONSIDER: From the answers in #5, do the findings flow logically? Are they coherent and consistent? |
| **8. What was the explanation for the success, failure or mixed fortunes of the initiative – and was this reasonable?** |  |
| CONSIDER: Do the authors refer to back to their context and does it make sense? |
| **9. In light of the findings, what do the authors feel are the next steps in the QI cycle locally?** |  |
| CONSIDER: Did they identify the steps? Are they logical and achievable? |
| **10. What did the authors claim to be the generalizable lessons for other teams, and was this reasonable?** |  |
| CONSIDER: Are the process, findings transferrable to your context?  |
| **EVALUATOR COMMENTS:**  |